

Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction in Anesthesia Practice



**JOHN
GONZALEZ,
CER.A.T.T.**

Anesthesia professionals operate in one of the most cognitively demanding and emotionally compressed environments in healthcare in my opinion. The work requires sustained vigilance, rapid decision making, and technical precision, often under conditions of high acuity and limited margin for error. Anesthesia providers and anesthesia technologists are responsible not only for maintaining physiologic stability but also for anticipating complications and responding immediately to subtle changes in patient status (Irving et al., 2009). While this responsibility is foundational to patient safety, it also places anesthesia professionals at significant risk for occupational stress, burnout, and psychological distress (Kalmoe et al., 2019).

Healthcare systems have historically emphasized technical competence while minimizing the psychological cost of high-intensity clinical environments (Moll et al., 2013). Moll (2014) describes a persistent “culture of silence” in healthcare in which mental strain and emotional fatigue are normalized and rarely discussed. This silence contributes to delayed recognition of distress, worsening burnout, and the erosion of workforce well-being (Moll et al., 2013). For anesthesia professionals, whose work is frequently isolated behind drapes and monitors, this culture can be particularly pronounced (Patel et al., 2018).

Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) has emerged as a practical and evidence supported approach to addressing occupational stress in healthcare (Errazuriz et al., 2022). Originally developed as a structured program integrating meditation, body awareness, and stress education, MBSR has demonstrated effectiveness in reducing burnout, improving emotional regulation, and strengthening psychological resilience (Burton et al., 2017; Errazuriz et al., 2022). The tools of MSBR are guided mediations and breath work. When applied thoughtfully, MBSR offers anesthesia departments an opportunity to enhance provider wellbeing while simultaneously strengthening patient safety and clinical performance.

OCCUPATIONAL STRESS IN ANESTHESIA PRACTICE

Occupational stress is a pervasive issue across healthcare, but anesthesia professionals face a uniquely intense constellation of stressors. Long shifts, exposure to critical events, responsibility for airway management and hemodynamic stability, and the expectation of uninterrupted vigilance create sustained cognitive and emotional load (Dutheil et al., 2019). Stress in healthcare is strongly associated with burnout, depression, and compassion fatigue, which in turn impair job performance and satisfaction (Kalmoe et al., 2019).

The COVID-19 pandemic magnified these stressors. National and international studies documented significant increases in stress, burnout, and emotional exhaustion among healthcare professionals during this period (Al-Ghunaim, 2021). Salari et al. (2020) reported that approximately 45 percent of healthcare workers caring for COVID-19 patients experienced significant stress, exceeding rates of anxiety and depression. For anesthesia teams, the pandemic intensified existing stress patterns by adding increased procedural risk, staffing shortages, and heightened exposure to life-threatening scenarios. The combination of technical pressure and emotional burden placed anesthesia professionals at substantial risk for psychological fatigue and disengagement.

The consequences of untreated stress extend beyond individual suffering. Occupational stress has been linked to higher rates of medical errors, reduced patient safety, and decreased quality of care (García-Herrero et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2018). In anesthesia, where errors may have immediate and catastrophic consequences, stress-related impairment directly threatens patient outcomes (Patel et al., 2018).

THE UNIQUE VULNERABILITY OF ANESTHESIA PROVIDERS AND TECHNOLOGISTS

Anesthesia professionals function within a paradox: their work demands calm precision, yet their environment frequently generates acute psychological pressure. Providers must maintain steady attention during prolonged periods of relative calm while being prepared for instantaneous crisis response (Rodríguez-Vega et al., 2020). This oscillation places heavy demands on attentional control and emotional regulation.

Additionally, anesthesia practice often involves limited peer interaction during procedures, reducing opportunities for emotional ventilation and social support. García-Herrero et

al. (2017) demonstrated that recognition and social support are protective against occupational stress. In anesthesia settings, where much of the work is solitary or conducted quietly in high-focus environments, these protective factors may be diminished. Burnout in anesthesia can manifest as emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced professional fulfillment (Kalmoe et al., 2019). These symptoms threaten not only professional longevity but also patient safety, as disengagement and cognitive fatigue impair vigilance and clinical judgment (Patel et al., 2018).

MINDFULNESS-BASED STRESS REDUCTION EXPLAINED FOR THE PERI-OPERATIVE ENVIRONMENT

MBSR is a structured program designed to cultivate present moment awareness and nonjudgmental attention to internal experiences. "Rooted in contemplative practices and adapted for clinical use, MBSR teaches individuals to observe thoughts, emotions, and bodily sensations without immediate reaction" (Chan, 2007). In healthcare settings, MBSR functions as a skill-training intervention rather than a philosophical practice (Chan, 2007). Its objective is to improve emotional regulation, attentional stability, and stress tolerance (Burton et al., 2017; Janssen et al., 2018). For anesthesia professionals, this translates into improved situational awareness, reduced emotional reactivity, and greater cognitive clarity under pressure (Kriakous et al., 2021). MBSR typically involves guided meditation, body awareness exercises, and reflective practices delivered over an eight-week structured curriculum (Rodríguez-Vega et al., 2020). Participants are encouraged to integrate brief mindfulness practices into daily activities, including moments of clinical preparation and recovery (Rodríguez-Vega et al., 2020). Research consistently shows that MBSR reduces psychological distress and improves overall mental well-being in healthcare workers (Errazuriz et al., 2020; Janssen et al., 2018). These benefits are particularly relevant to anesthesia professionals, whose effectiveness depends on sustained concentration and emotional steadiness.

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING MBSR IN HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS

Multiple systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials support the use of mindfulness based interventions in healthcare populations (Hassard et al., 2018; Janssen et al., 2018). An eight-week program allows for progressive skill development while remaining feasible within demanding clinical schedules (Burton et al., 2017). Participation should be voluntary and supported by leadership to ensure legitimacy and engagement (Janssen et al., 2018). Burton et

al. (2017) demonstrated that mindfulness-based programs significantly reduce stress among healthcare professionals. Janssen et al. (2018) found consistent improvements in mental health outcomes following MBSR participation. The MBSR program consisted of breath work and meditations that required the participant to observe one's emotions and thoughts without judgement.

Errazuriz et al. (2020) conducted a randomized controlled trial showing that MBSR significantly reduced psychological distress in healthcare workers, reinforcing its role as a viable occupational health intervention. Goldberg et al. (2018) confirmed the broad effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions in improving emotional regulation and reducing psychiatric symptoms such as anxiety, depression, substance use, and chronic pain. For anesthesia departments, these findings suggest that MBSR is not a wellness trend but a clinically relevant intervention capable of potentially improving workforce stability, performance, and resilience. There is more research needed to confirm this specifically for anesthesia departments.

IMPACT ON PERFORMANCE, VIGILANCE, AND PATIENT SAFETY

The relationship between clinician well-being and patient safety is well established (Salari et al., 2020). Stress and burnout impair attention, memory, and executive function, increasing the likelihood of errors (García-Herrero et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2018). In anesthesia practice, where vigilance failure may rapidly become catastrophic, psychological clarity is a patient safety imperative. Mindfulness strengthens attentional control by training individuals to recognize distraction and re-center focus (Goldberg et al., 2018). It also improves emotional regulation, allowing clinicians to respond to stressful events with composure rather than automatic reaction (Burton et al., 2017). Practiced breath work, such as train of four breathing, calms your body, lowers stress, improves focus, and keeps your emotions steady (Connolly et al., 2018). These skills are directly transferable to anesthesia practice, where crisis management requires calm assessment and precise execution. By enhancing situational awareness and emotional stability, MBSR supports safer anesthesia care and reinforces the reliability of the perioperative team according to Burton et al. (2017).

ADDRESSING THE CULTURE OF SILENCE IN ANESTHESIA

Moll (2014) emphasized that healthcare institutions often perpetuate silence around mental health, discouraging open discussion and early intervention. This culture is particularly

problematic in anesthesia, where emotional strain is masked by professional stoicism in my professional observations of working in the operating room for over twenty five years. Implementing MBSR programs demonstrates that an organization views mental resilience as a vital professional skill that improves work engagement and reduces emotional exhaustion.

Mindfulness normalizes psychological skill development and reframes stress management as a component of clinical excellence rather than vulnerability (Connolly & Slade, 2018). When departments invest in structured wellness programs, they create environments in which anesthesia professionals can acknowledge stress without stigma, fostering healthier teams and more sustainable careers.

PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION IN A PERIOPERATIVE DEPARTMENT

Implementation of MBSR in anesthesia departments should follow a structured and accessible model. Initial education sessions introduce mindfulness concepts, scientific foundations, and relevance to patient safety. Some of the mindfulness concepts were guided meditations along with breath work. Weekly sessions include guided practice and reflection (Goldberg et al., 2018; Rodriguez-Vega et al., 2020). Supplemental resources such as videos, manuals, and digital materials support independent practice (Goldberg et al., 2018; Rodriguez-Vega et al., 2020). For anesthesia professionals, sessions should emphasize:

- Focused attention during procedural preparation
- Emotional regulation during critical events
- Cognitive recovery following high-acuity cases

This targeted approach ensures that mindfulness training aligns directly with clinical demands rather than remaining abstract or disconnected (Burton et al., 2017).

MEASUREMENT, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND PROGRAM EVALUATION

For MBSR programs to be accepted in clinical environments, they must demonstrate measurable value (Irving et al., 2009). Healthcare systems increasingly require evidence that interventions improve staff well-being, performance, and patient safety (Errazuriz et al., 2022). In anesthesia departments, where precision and reliability are critical, structured evaluation is essential in my opinion. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), developed by Cohen et al. (1983), is a widely used and validated tool for measuring perceived stress. It evaluates the degree to which individuals

feel their lives are unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overloaded (Cohen et al., 1983). The PSS is particularly well suited for perioperative environments because it captures both psychological strain and perceived coping capacity as it has demonstrated on other healthcare specialties such as nursing (Cohen et al., 1983).

In an anesthesia-focused MBSR program, the PSS can be administered with the following interval to assess effectiveness (Cohen et al., 1983):

- Before program initiation

- Immediately after program completion

- Three to six months after completion

This longitudinal measurement allows departments to assess:

- Short-term effectiveness

- Sustainability of benefits

- Need for program refinement

Reductions in PSS scores following MBSR participation have been consistently demonstrated in healthcare populations (Burton et al., 2017; Janssen et al., 2018; Errazuriz et al., 2020). Documented outcomes include substantial drops in mean PSS scores, such as a decrease from 17.5 to 13.5 over an 8-week program, or reductions of up to 54.7% in specific populations (Kriakous, et al., 2021). Improvements reflect enhanced emotional regulation, increased coping ability, and improved psychological resilience. In addition to PSS outcomes, departments may track:

- Sick leave utilization

- Staff turnover

- Job satisfaction metrics

- Reported fatigue and burnout indicators

Workplace stress has been shown to significantly increase healthcare costs through absenteeism, medical errors, and staff attrition (Goh et al., 2016; Hassard et al., 2018). By improving emotional stability and resilience, MBSR programs represent not only a wellness intervention but also a strategic operational investment.

RISKS, ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS, AND PROGRAM SAFEGUARDS

Although mindfulness-based interventions are generally safe and beneficial, they are not without risks. Taylor et al. (2022)

emphasized that mind–body practices may occasionally exacerbate anxiety, emotional distress, or trauma-related symptoms in vulnerable individuals. For this reason, responsible implementation requires ethical oversight and participant screening. In anesthesia departments, safeguards should include:

Voluntary Participation: Participation must be optional. Mandating mindfulness risks creating resistance and undermining the autonomy of healthcare professionals (Connolly & Slade, 2018). According to Connolly & Slade 2018, mandating this program can be counterproductive to the intended outcome of improving well-being and stress reduction.

Professional Facilitation: Programs should be led by individuals trained in mindfulness instruction and mental health principles. Inadequate facilitation increases the risk of emotional destabilization.

Clear Boundaries: For effective program outcomes it is imperative certain protocols are followed. Clear boundaries must be established to clarify that MBSR is not psychotherapy. Participants experiencing significant psychological distress should be referred to professional mental health services to ensure they receive appropriate clinical care (Burton et al., 2017; Connolly et al., 2018). Pre-program screening can identify individuals who may require additional psychological support. On-site referral pathways should be available.

Avoiding Depersonalization: Some critics caution that mindfulness may be misused to place responsibility for stress entirely on individuals rather than addressing organizational contributors (Taylor et al., 2022). Departments must ensure that MBSR complements, not replaces, systemic improvements in staffing, scheduling, and workplace culture (Taylor et al., 2022). Ethical MBSR implementation recognizes that mindfulness strengthens individual coping while acknowledging that healthcare systems share responsibility for reducing workplace stressors (Moll, 2014; Moll et al., 2013).

CONCLUSION

Anesthesia professionals work in an environment defined by vigilance, precision, and emotional restraint. While these qualities support patient safety, they also expose providers and technologists to chronic stress and burnout. Left unaddressed, this burden threatens workforce sustainability, clinical performance, and patient outcomes.

MBSR offers a scientifically supported, ethically responsible, and operationally feasible approach to strengthening

resilience in healthcare practices (Errazuriz et al., 2020; Goldberg et al., 2018). The evidence consistently demonstrates that MBSR reduces stress, improves emotional regulation, and enhances cognitive clarity (Burton et al., 2017; Janssen et al., 2018; Errazuriz et al., 2020). For anesthesia and other healthcare departments, MBSR can potentially represent:

- A patient safety strategy
- A workforce sustainability initiative
- A cultural shift away from silence and toward psychological professionalism

Mindfulness does not weaken clinical rigor. It refines it. It trains the mind to remain steady under pressure, to respond rather than react, and to preserve clarity when the stakes are highest. In anesthesia, where moments matter, mindfulness becomes not a luxury but a clinical skill.

NOTE FROM THE AUTHOR

When I completed my capstone for my masters degree in *The Effectiveness of Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction for Healthcare Workers*, this capstone did not remain an academic exercise. It became a clinical skill that translated directly into practice. What emerged most clearly is that Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction is not a single technique but a comprehensive framework for strengthening how healthcare professionals meet stress, pressure, and responsibility. MBSR is the full system. It is the anesthesia machine. It provides structure, stability, and precision. Within that system, individual techniques become tools that can be applied in real time, when clarity matters most.

Train-of-four breathing became one of those tools. It is simple, portable, and immediately usable in the peri-operative environment. It fits naturally under the breath awareness component of MBSR, transforming a clinical concept familiar to anesthesia professionals into a psychological anchor. Just as a train-of-four monitor provides objective feedback and control over neuromuscular

blockade, this breathing pattern provides feedback and control over the nervous system. Four counts in. Four counts held. Four counts out. Four counts held again. A rhythm that steadies the mind.

Its physiological effects are not abstract. The pattern activates parasympathetic tone, reduces autonomic arousal, sharpens attention, and stabilizes emotional responses. It creates a moment of control inside chaos, a reset that does not require leaving the clinical space or interrupting workflow. It is mindfulness engineered for anesthesia culture: efficient, functional, and precise.

Academically, the distinction is essential. Train-of-four breathing is not MBSR. It is a mindfulness-based breathing exercise that operates within the MBSR framework. One is a complete program, the other is a targeted intervention. Confusing the two diminishes both. But recognizing their relationship strengthens them. MBSR builds the foundation of awareness, resilience, and emotional regulation. Train-of-four breathing becomes a micro-practice that delivers those principles in seconds when they are most needed.

This distinction is what makes mindfulness legitimate in clinical education and continuing education environments. It preserves scientific integrity while making mindfulness operational. It allows anesthesia professionals to adopt mindfulness not as a philosophy, but as a performance-enhancing skill set.

The availability of structured MBSR programs, whether through formal courses or accessible platforms like Palouse Mindfulness (<https://palousemindfulness.com/index.html>), reflects an important shift. Mental resilience is no longer optional. It is becoming recognized as a professional competency, just as airway management or hemodynamic monitoring are. In anesthesia, excellence is defined by steadiness under pressure. MBSR cultivates that steadiness. Train-of-four breathing deploys it. Together, they form a system in which mindfulness becomes not a retreat from clinical rigor, but an extension of it. Where the machine supports physiology, mindfulness supports the clinician who stands behind it. 

References

- Al-Ghunaim, A., (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on mental health: A study on healthcare providers. *Journal of public health research*, 10(3), 234–245.
- Burton, A., Burgess, C., Dean, S., Koutsopoulou, G. Z., & Hugh-Jones, S. (2017). How effective are mindfulness-based interventions for reducing stress among healthcare professionals? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stress and health*, 33(1), 3–13.
- Chan, K. B. (Ed.). (2007). *Work stress and coping among professionals*. Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004154803.i-262>.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of health and social behavior*, 24(4), 385–396.
- Connolly, M., & Slade, K. (2018). Mindfulness in stress reduction: A practitioner's guide. *Journal of applied psychology*, 43(3), 378–391.
- Dutheil, F., Aubert, C., Pereira, B., Dambrun, M., Moustafa, F., Mermillod, M., ... & Navel, V. (2019). Suicide among physicians and health-care workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*, 14(12), e0226361.
- Erazuriz, A., Schmidt, K., Undurraga, E. A., Medeiros, S., Baudrand, R., Cussen, D., ... & Figueroa, R. A. (2022). Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on psychological distress in health workers: A three-arm parallel randomized controlled trial. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 145, 284–293.
- García-Herrero, S., Lopez-García, J. R., Herrera, S., Fontaneda, I., Báscones, S. M., & Mariscal, M. A. (2017). The influence of recognition and social support on european health professionals' occupational stress: A demands control social support recognition bayesian network model. *BioMed research international*, 2017(1), 4673047.
- Goldberg, S. B., Tucker, R. P., Greene, P. A., Davidson, R. J., Wampold, B. E., Kearney, D. J., & Simpson, T. L. (2018). Mindfulness-based interventions for psychiatric disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical psychology review*, 59, 52–60.
- Goh, J., Pfeffer, J., & Zenios, S. A. (2016). The relationship between workplace stressors and mortality and health costs in the United States. *Management Science*, 62(2), 608–628.
- Hassard, J., Teoh, K. R., Visockaite, G., Dewe, P., & Cox, T. (2018). The cost of work-related stress to society: A systematic review. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, 23(1), 1.
- Irving, J. A., Dobkin, P. L., & Park, J. (2009). Cultivating mindfulness in health care professionals: A review of empirical studies of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR). *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*, 15(2), 61–66.
- Janssen, M., Heerkens, Y., Kuijter, W., Van Der Heijden, B., & Engels, J. (2018). Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on employees' mental health: A systematic review. *PloS one*, 13(1), e0191332.
- Kalmoe, M. C., Chapman, M. B., Gold, J. A., & Giedinghagen, A. M. (2019). Physician suicide: a call to action. *Missouri medicine*, 116(3), 211.
- Kriakous, S. A., Elliott, K. A., Lamers, C., & Owen, R. (2021). The effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction on the psychological functioning of healthcare professionals: A systematic review. *Mindfulness*, 12(1), 1–28.
- Moll, S. E. (2014). The web of silence: a qualitative case study of early intervention and support for healthcare workers with mental ill-health. *BMC public health*, 14(1), 138.
- Moll, S., Eakin, J. M., Franche, R. L., & Strike, C. (2013). When health care workers experience mental ill health: institutional practices of silence. *Qualitative Health Research*, 23(2), 167–179.
- Patel, R. S., Bachu, R., Adikey, A., Malik, M., & Shah, M. (2018). Factors related to physician burnout and its consequences: a review. *Behavioral sciences*, 8(11), 98.
- Prasad, K., McLoughlin, C., Stillman, M., Poplau, S., Goelz, E., Taylor, S., ... & Sinsky, C. A. (2021). Prevalence and correlates of stress and burnout among US healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a national cross-sectional survey study. *EClinicalMedicine*, 35.
- Rodríguez-Vega, B., Palao, Á., Muñoz-Sanjose, A., Torrijos, M., Aguirre, P., Fernández, A., ... & Bayón, C. (2020). Implementation of a mindfulness-based crisis intervention for frontline healthcare workers during the COVID-19 outbreak in a public general hospital in Madrid, Spain. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 11, 562578.
- Salari, N., Khazaie, H., Hosseini-Far, A., Khaledi-Paveh, B., Kazemini, M., Mohammadi, M., ... & Eskandari, S. (2020). The prevalence of stress, anxiety and depression within front-line healthcare workers caring for COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Human resources for health*, 18(1), 100.
- Taylor, G. B., Vasquez, T. S., Kastrinos, A., Fisher, C. L., Puig, A., & Bylund, C. L. (2022). The adverse effects of meditation-interventions and mind-body practices: A systematic review. *Mindfulness*, 13(8), 1839–1856.

Take the **QUIZ** on the next page!

Continuing Education Quiz

PAGE 1 of 2

To test your knowledge on this issue's article, provide correct answers to the following questions on the form below. Follow the instructions carefully.

- 1. Which characteristic makes anesthesia professionals uniquely vulnerable to occupational stress?**
 - A. Limited patient interaction
 - B. Continuous vigilance with rapid crisis response requirements
 - C. High levels of social support
 - D. Short work hours
- 2. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) primarily measures:**
 - A. Anxiety disorders
 - B. Emotional intelligence
 - C. Perceived unpredictability and overload in daily life
 - D. Depression severity
- 3. One key benefit of MBSR for anesthesia practice is:**
 - A. Increased procedural speed
 - B. Enhanced attentional control and emotional regulation
 - C. Elimination of workplace stress
 - D. Replacement of mental health services
- 4. Why is voluntary participation essential in MBSR programs?**
 - A. To avoid legal liability
 - B. To ensure autonomy and engagement
 - C. To limit program size
 - D. To reduce costs
- 5. Which risk must be considered when implementing mindfulness programs?**
 - A. Reduced job performance
 - B. Emotional distress in vulnerable individuals
 - C. Increased absenteeism
 - D. Loss of clinical skills
- 6. According to research, occupational stress contributes to:**
 - A. Improved teamwork
 - B. Increased medical errors and burnout
 - C. Higher job satisfaction
 - D. Faster clinical decision-making
- 7. A primary goal of using PSS data in anesthesia departments is to:**
 - A. Diagnose psychiatric illness
 - B. Monitor long-term program effectiveness
 - C. Replace wellness committees
 - D. Eliminate stress entirely
- 8. MBSR should complement which of the following?**
 - A. Organizational and systemic improvements
 - B. Disciplinary procedures
 - C. Increased workload
 - D. Reduction of staffing
- 9. What cultural shift does MBSR help promote in healthcare?**
 - A. Increased competition
 - B. Silence around mental health
 - C. Normalization of psychological skill development
 - D. Reduced professional accountability
- 10. In anesthesia practice, mindfulness is best described as:**
 - A. A spiritual exercise
 - B. A relaxation technique only
 - C. A clinical skill supporting vigilance and patient safety
 - D. A substitute for medical training

Continuing Education Quiz

To apply for Continuing Education/ Contact Hours:

- 1) Provide all the information requested on this form.
- 2) Provide correct answers to this issue's quiz in the box to the right
- 3) Mail this form along with \$10 Member or \$20 Non-Member (check or money order, payable to ASATT) to:
ASATT
6737 W Washington St, Ste 4270
Milwaukee, WI 53211

The answers to the Spring 2026 "Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction in Anesthesia Practice" Quiz 2 are:
(circle answers)

- | | |
|------------|-------------|
| 1. A B C D | 6. A B C D |
| 2. A B C D | 7. A B C D |
| 3. A B C D | 8. A B C D |
| 4. A B C D | 9. A B C D |
| 5. A B C D | 10. A B C D |

Quiz 2

Name: _____

ASATT Number: _____

Street Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

ACHIEVE 80% IN THIS QUIZ TO EARN ONE (1) CONTINUING EDUCATION CREDIT.